Orange County Committee on School District Organization

Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries Following 2020 Census

VOLUME 2

RANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION

c/o ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 200 KALMUS DRIVE P.O.BOX 9050 COSTA MESA, CA 92628-9050 (714)966-4000 www.ocde.us/CCSDO

January 26, 2022 HAND DELIVERY

Re: <u>Additional Documents for County Committee Binder Related to the</u> <u>Adjustment of Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area</u> <u>Boundaries and Binder Volume 2</u>

Dear County Committee Members:

Enclosed with this letter are additional documents to be added to the existing Binder of the Orange County Committee on School District Organization: Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Census (Volume 1) as well as a new binder, Volume 2, consisting of the documents for the January 27, 2022 County Committee meeting related to the adjustment of the Orange County Board of Education's trustee area boundaries.

Binder Volume 1

Below are instructions for inserting the enclosed additional documents in Volume 1 of the Binder:

- 1. Main Index (Please replace the Main Index with the enclosed updated version.)
- 2. In Section A, under Tab 13, after the agenda, please insert Minutes from County Committee's Meeting on January 14, 2022

Binder Volume 2

Binder Volume 2 consists of the following documents:

- 1. Volume 2 Main Index
- 2. Tab 20: January 21, 2022 Agenda for County Committee Special Meeting and Public Hearing
- 3. Tab 21: Public input received by County Committee between January 21, 2022 and January 26, 2022
- 4. Tab 22: January 27, 2022 Agenda for County Committee Meeting and Public Hearing Agenda

January 26, 2022 Page 2 of 2

- 5. Tab 23: Map 5 Modified by RP 1 (Created by Redistricting Partners) Same Map as Tab 15 but duplicated for ease of reference.
- 6. Tab 24: Map 9B (Submitted by Wright and converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners)
 Same Map as Tab 17 but duplicated for ease of reference.
- 7. Tab 25: Option 5 Modified RP 1B (Created by Redistricting Partners)
- 8. Tab 26: Draft Map 9B V2 (Modified by Redistricting Partners)

If you have any questions or need assistance updating the Binder Volume 1 we will be available at the January 27, 2022 County Committee meeting to assist you. You are also welcome to call Laurie Weiss at (714) 966-4234 for additional assistance.

Sincerely,

0

Howard C. Marinier III Secretary to County Committee

Enclosures

cc: Laurie Weiss, Manager Business Services OCDE
 Dean West, Assistant Superintendent Business Services, OCDE
 Jeff Riel, General Counsel, OCDE
 Ruth Brewda, Counsel, OCDE
 Lysa Saltzman, Counsel, OCDE

MAIN INDEX – VOLUME 1

A. <u>COUNTY COMMITTEE INFORMATION</u>

NO	DATE	DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION
1.	11/8/21	Agenda	Agenda, minutes and PowerPoint presentation
2.	11/24/21	Correspondence	From Secretary of County Committee to Orange County Board of Education
3.	11/28/21	Correspondence	From Board President OCBE to Secretary of County Committee
4.	12/10/21	Agenda	 Agenda, minutes, and the following: Legal Services Presentation OCBE Redistricting Map – Option 5 National Demographic Corporation Power Point Presentation During Public Hearing
5.	12/10/21	OCBE	 Material provided to County Committee by OCBE including: Resolution on County Board of Education Redistricting Plan – Orange County Board of Education – December 8, 2021; National Demographics Corporation (41 pages); Document entitled Nielsen Merksamer's Redistricting and Voting Rights Practice
6.	Various	Emails	Public Comments sent by email to County Committee regarding OCBE Redistricting Plan received <u>prior to</u> 12pm on December 10, 2021
7.	Various	Emails	Public Comments sent by email to County Committee regarding OCBE Redistricting Plan received <u>after</u> 12pm on December 10, 2021
8.	12/14/21	Correspondence	Letter to Orange County Registrar of Voters regarding the status of the County Committee's process
9.	12/16/21	Correspondence	Email from Secretary to County Committee with update following County Committee meeting

1

10.		County Committee	Bylaws	
11.	12/29/21	Correspondence	Letter from Orange County Registrar of Voters regarding timeline for submission of OCBE map for June 7, 2022 Primary Elections and enclosures: (1) Letter from OCBE to OC ROV dated 12/15/21 and (2) Letter from County Committee to OC ROV dated 12/14/21.	
12.	Various	Emails and Correspondence	Public input received by County Committee between December 11, 2021 through January 13, 2022	
13.	1/14/22	Agenda	 County Committee Meeting Agenda including: Minutes Attachment A2 – OCBOE Map Option 5 (Converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners) Attachment B – AB 361 Resolution 	
14.	1/20/22	Map Option	OCBOE Map Option 5 (Created by Redistricting Partners) – School District and City Split Reports Only	
15.	1/20/22	Map Option	OCBOE Map Option 5 Modified by RP #1 (Created by Redistricting Partners) School District and City Split Reports	
16.	1/20/22	Map Option	OCBOE Map Option 5 Modified by RP #2 (Created by Redistricting Partners) School District and City Split Reports	
17.	1/20/22	Map Option	Map 9B (Submitted by Wright and converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners) Map 9B (Submitted by Billie Joe Wright) School District and City Split Reports	
18.	1/20/22	Map Option	Map C (Created by Redistricting Partners) School District and City Split Reports	
19.	1/21/22	Emails	Public input received by County Committee between January 13, 2022 through January 21, 2022	
	See Volume 2			

B. ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETINGS/INFORMATION

NO	DATE	DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION
1.	5/5/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	2021 Board Census Presentation by Greg Rolen
2.	6/2/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Board Action on Redistricting Contract with National Demographics Corporation (NDC)
3.	6/16/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Redistricting Committee Appointment
4.	8/4/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Redistricting Presentation by OCBE Redistricting by Doug Johnson, NDC
5.	10/6/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Update on Redistricting
6.	11/3/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Redistricting Presentation and Proposed Map Option 1, Option 2, Option 3 by Greg Rolen and Douglas Johnson Public Hearing on OCBE Redistricting
7.	12/1/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Redistricting Update by Douglas Johnson & Greg Rolen Public Hearing on Redistricting Map Options 1-10
8.	12/8/21	Agenda/Minutes/Transcript	Public Report of Action Taken in Closed Session Redistricting Update by Douglas Johnson and Greg Rolen Redistricting Public Hearing on Redistricting Map Option 4 and Map Option 5 Final Board Approval 2021 Trustee Districts
9.		Emails	Public Comment sent by email to Orange County Board of Education regarding Cypress.

10.	1/5/22		Conference with Legal Counsel-Anticipated Litigation Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 54956.9.
-----	--------	--	--

NO	DATE	DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION
1.	2011	Current OCBE Boundaries	Trustee area boundaries created in 2011 with demographic information from the 2020 Census
2.	11/3/21	Option 1	Created by NDC and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021 and December 1, 2021.
3.	11/3/21	Option 2	Created by NDC and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021 and December 1, 2021.
4.	11/3/21	Option 3	Created by NDC and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021 and December 1, 2021.
5.	12/1/21	Option 4	Created by NDC (after public hearing on November 3, 2021) and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021, December 1, 2021, and December 8, 2021.
6.	12/1/21	Option 5	Created by NDC (after public hearing on November 3, 2021) and submitted by OCBE Redistricting Committee. Considered by OCBE on November 3, 2021, December 1, 2021, and December 8, 2021.
7.	12/1/21	Option 6	Submitted by Tom Berry, Mappings and GIS. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.
8.	12/1/21	Option 7	Submitted by Tom Berry, Mappings and GIS. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.
9.	12/1/21	Option 8	Submitted by Tom Berry, Mappings and GIS. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.
10.	12/1/21	Option 9	Submitted by Billie Joe Wright. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.

C. REDISTRICTING MAPS

11.	12/1/21	Option 10	Submitted by MALDEF. Considered by OCBE on December 1, 2021.
12.	12/3/21	Option 9A	Submitted by Billie Joe Wright.
13.	12/8/21	OCBOS	Redistricting Map adopted by the Orange County Board of Supervisors. Provided as a reference.

D. ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETINGS/INFORMATION

NO	DATE	DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION
1.	12/2/21	Staff Report	Staff Report from Orange County Executive Office providing background on Board of Supervisor process.
2.	12/3/21	Revised Staff Report	Memo from Orange County Executive Office regarding Adoption of 2021 Redistricting Map Ordinance
3.	12/7/21	Ordinance	County of Orange 2021 Redistricting Ordinance with Adopted Map
4.	12/7/21	Map	2021 Map adopted by Board of Supervisors with demographic information

١.

ORANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION MINUTES Special Meeting January 14, 2022

1. CALL TO ORDER	ORANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON	SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION
	Organization was called to order	ange County Committee on School District by Chairperson Sheila Benecke at 3:00 p.m., Room of the Orange County Department of ta Mesa, California, 92626.
	-	ed guidelines from the California Department County Health Care Agency that required face ing.
2. FLAG SALUTE	The Pledge of Allegiance was led	by Virginia Wilson.
3. <u>ROLL CALL</u>	Chairperson Benecke asked Ms. L	aurie Weiss if she would take roll.
	<i>Present:</i> Sheila Benecke, Chairperson Meg Cutuli Karin Freeman, Vice Chairperson Kathy Moffat Suzie Swartz <i>Absent:</i> Jo-Ann Purcell	Marilyn Buchi Jackie Filbeck Kathleen Heard Francine Scinto Virginia Wilson
4. ADOPTION OF AGENDA		ded by Karin Freeman, and carried by vote of , to adopt the agenda as presented.
5. ACCEPTANCE OF MINU		ded by Suzie Swartz, and carried by a vote of 9 to approve the County Committee minutes of
6. PUBLIC COMMENTS	• •	d the several opportunities available for to address the County Committee. There was sed session.
7. <u>CLOSED SESSION</u>	meet in closed session with legal	explained the County Committee would now counsel under the authority of subdivision (d) 956.9, based on the fact that there has been a
	At 5:04pm, Chairperson Benecke	e reconvened the County Committee meeting

and reported that the County Committee ratified retention of Robin Johansen

of Olson Remcho LLP. The County Committee voted unanimously for this retention.

8. <u>PUBLIC COMMENTS</u> Chairperson Benecke repeated the face mask requirement and then explained that given some of the public comments at the previous meeting, the County Committee does not assign students to schools and that those are local decisions made by the school districts and parents. Chairperson Benecke then stated she received eighteen speaker cards. Based on the number of speaker cards, each speaker was given 1.7 minutes (1 minute and 42 seconds) to provide public comments. Seventeen speakers supported Map Option 5. One speaker supported Map Option 9.

9. NEW BUSINESS

- A. Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Federal Census
 - 1. Staff Report:

Chairperson Benecke asked Mr. Howard Marinier, Secretary to the County Committee, and Mr. Jeffrey Riel, General Counsel of the Orange County Department of Education to provide a staff report.

Mr. Marinier explained that the binder, entitled "Orange County Committee on School District Organization: Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Census" is referenced as Attachment A on the agenda and explained that members of the public may review an electronic version on the County Committee webpage or a hard-copy version was available in the back of the room. Mr. Marinier then introduced Mr. Jeff Riel, OCDE General Counsel who was also joined via Zoom by Ms. Robin Johansen, Senior Counsel with Olson Remcho, and Mr. Paul Mitchell, a demographer and President of Redistricting Partners.

Mr. Riel provided a brief summary of the redistricting process, Education Code section 1002, the different criteria to be considered, the history of the OCBOE redistricting in 2001 and 2011, the County Committee's options and the timeline for the process.

Mr. Paul Mitchell, participating via zoom, then provided a presentation that reviewed the Orange County Board of Education's adopted Map 5 and provided information regarding how it compared to city and school district boundaries and the number of cities and school districts that were split. He explained the different criteria that may be considered and how it may impact the degree of population deviation. Mr. Mitchell also briefly reviewed Map 9B that was submitted to the County Committee by a member of the public and Map C that was developed independently to address concerns raised by the County Committee.

2. Discussion:

County Committee members asked questions regarding the different maps. Mr. Mitchell and Ms. Robin Johansen answered various questions about each of the maps, higher ranking redistricting criteria, permissible deviation in determining equal population, and the Federal Voting Rights Act.

3. Vote on the Redistricting Plan Submitted by the Orange County Board of Education:

Motion by Suzie Swartz to adjust Map Option 5 to make the south county whole again because of the strong community interest and Meg Cutuli seconded the motion.

Motion to amend by Jackie Filbeck to include Map 9B and Map C, which was seconded by Karin Freeman. County Committee members discussed the amendment, specifically the need for more information related to Map 9B and Map C. A roll call vote for the amendment to the original motion was taken and the amendment to the motion was passed by a unanimous vote of all members present.

Then a roll call vote for the amended motion to adjust Map Option 5 to make south county whole and to provide further information regarding Map 9B and Map C was taken and the motion passed by a unanimous vote of all members present.

County Committee members then gave instructions to staff on what they would like to be included in the next meeting: information regarding where trustees reside on each map and the opportunity for the maker of Map 9B to present information.

- B. State of Emergency and Resolution Authorizing Teleconferenced Meetings Pursuant to the Provisions of AB 361
 - 1. Staff Report:

Chairperson Benecke asked Mr. Riel to provide a staff report regarding the state of emergency due to COVID-19 and AB 361. Mr. Riel explained that AB 361 amended the Brown Act to allow for public agencies to hold teleconferenced meetings under specific circumstances during a state of

emergency without complying with the Brown Act's traditional teleconference requirements. Mr. Riel explained that the County Committee would need to determine that meeting in person would present an imminent risk to the health safety of the attendees and the specific requirements that must be met for remote meetings and remote public comment under AB 361.

2. Discussion:

The County Committee asked and Mr. Riel answered questions regarding the flexibility to continue to holding in person meetings if the County Committee passed the resolution.

3. Resolution Recognizing State of Emergency and Authorizing Teleconferenced Meetings Pursuant to the Provisions of AB 361

Motion by Virginia Wilson to approve a resolution recognizing a State of Emergency and Authorizing Teleconferenced Meetings pursuant to the Provisions of AB 361, which was seconded by Suzie Swartz, and carried by unanimous vote of all members present.

10. <u>PRESENTATIONS FROM</u> <u>THE PUBLIC</u> No

No presentations from the public.

- 11. NEXT MEETING
 Mr. Marinier stated the next meeting will be held on January 21, 2022. He also stated the County Committee will be meeting in February to hear the Santa Ana Unified School District trustee areas and change to a by-trustee area method of election.
- 12. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u> There being no further business Chairperson Benecke requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was moved by Virginia Wilson, seconded by Suzie Swartz, and unanimously approved by all members present. The meeting was adjourned at 7:28p.m.

MAIN INDEX – VOLUME 2

A. <u>COUNTY COMMITTEE INFORMATION</u>

NO	DATE	DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION
		See Volume 1	for No. 1 - 19
20.	1/21/22	Agenda	County Committee Meeting and Public Hearing Agenda
21.	1/26/22	Emails	Public input received by County Committee between January 21, 2022 through January 26, 2022
22.	1/27/22	Agenda	County Committee Meeting and Public Hearing Agenda
23.	1/27/22	Map Option	Map 5 Modified by RP 1 (Created by Redistricting Partners) Same Map as Vol. 1 Tab 15, duplicated for ease of reference
24.	1/27/22	Map Option	Map 9B (Submitted by Wright and converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners) Same Map as Vol. 1 Tab 17, duplicated for ease of reference
25.	1/27/22	Map Option	Option 5 Modified RP 1B (Created by Redistricting Partners)
26.	1/27/22	Map Option	Draft Map 9B V2 (Modified by Redistricting Partners)

1



¥



ORANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION

200 Kalmus Drive P.O. Box 9050 Costa Mesa, CA 92628

SPECIAL MEETING AND PUBLIC HEARING AGENDA

Friday, January 21, 2022 3:00 p.m.

<u>Meeting Location</u> Orange County Department of Education Board Room 200 Kalmus Drive Costa Mesa, CA 92628

The Orange County Committee on School District Organization is an eleven member committee comprised of two representatives from each supervisorial district and one member at large elected by representatives from local boards of education. The County Committee studies and makes recommendations in the areas of (a) the organization and reorganization of school districts; (b) changes in school district boundaries; and (c) the number of trustees and the manner by which they are elected. For more information on the County Committee, please visit its website at <u>http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

ITEMS ON THE AGENDA. Any person may address the County Committee as a part of tonight's public meeting and/or at the end of the meeting under Presentations from the Public (for items not on the agenda).¹ Prior to the opening of the meeting, a Request to Address the County Committee card (located at the back of the room) should be completed and submitted to Laurie Weiss, Orange County Department of Education staff. Once the agenda item has been opened for public comment, no additional Request to Address the County Committee cards shall be accepted for that topic.

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Flag Salute.
- 3. Roll Call.
- 4. Adoption of Agenda (ACTION).
- 5. Acceptance of Minutes January 14, 2022 (ACTION)

¹ Public testimony shall be limited to a maximum of 30 minutes per item with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker, except as otherwise noted.

- 6. Public Comments of Persons Desiring to Address the County Committee: Not to Exceed 3 minutes per person/30 minutes total
- Closed Session: Conference with Legal Counsel Anticipated Litigation Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9 (one potential case)
- 8. New Business: (4:30pm)
 - A. Staff Report Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Federal Census
 - B. Public Hearing Proposed plans to adjust the Orange County Board of Education trustee area boundaries following the 2020 Federal Census
 - 1. Open Public Hearing
 - 2. Demographics and Plan Presentations
 - 3. Public Comment
 - 4. Rebuttal
 - 5. Questions from County Committee Members
 - 6. Close Public Hearing
 - C. Discussion from County Committee Members.
 - D. Vote on the Redistricting Plan to adjust the Orange County Board of Education trustee area boundaries following the 2020 Federal Census. (ACTION)
- 9. Presentations from the Public: Not to exceed 3 minutes per person/30 minutes total. Any persons present desiring to address the County Committee on any proper matter <u>not on the agenda</u> may do so at this time, provided that no action may be taken on any item not on the agenda.
- 10. Next Meeting: To Be Determined
- 11. Adjournment.

Individuals with disabilities in need of copies of the agenda and/or the agenda packet or in need of auxiliary aides and services may request assistance by contacting Laurie Weiss, Manager, Business Services at (714) 966-4234.

For information concerning this meeting, please contact: Laurie Weiss, Manager, Business Services Orange County Department of Education Phone: (714) 966-4234 Email: ccsdo@ocde.us or visit the County Committee website at <u>http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO/Pages/default.aspx</u>

 From:
 LADELLE CLARK

 To:
 County Committee on School District Organization

 Date:
 Friday, January 21, 2022 12:34:47 PM

Approve map 9B Fair& equitable! Del Clark Rossmoor resident

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

From:	Desi St. Amant
To:	County Committee on School District Organization
Subject:	Approval of Map 9B
Date:	Friday, January 21, 2022 7:29:54 PM

Hello,

I am asking the County Committee on School District Organization to **approve MAP 9B** because all of the regions in the county are well represented in that plan. Furthermore, it is not gerrymandered and follows existing district boundaries and natural dividing lines (like the Santa Ana River). Lastly, the map is cohesive, nesting many school districts together, and it creates a federal voting rights mandated district for the growing Latino community.

Thank you for your time and consideration, Desi St. Amant Resident of Orange County

From:	NINA ZASORIN
To:	County Committee on School District Organization
Subject:	I vote for Map 9B
Date:	Saturday, January 22, 2022 2:07:36 PM

The fairest for all stakeholders and best for students and schools

N.L. Zasorin, MD

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Erika Jewell
To:	County Committee on School District Organization
Subject:	Map 9B
Date:	Sunday, January 23, 2022 12:37:03 PM

I am writing to support option 9B as the only option for a school district map. My son attends middle school in Tustin.

- Option 9B is by far, the most fair of the proposals and most cohesive by nesting many school districts together.
- The three South County School districts are kept together.
- The two most Latino school districts are put together in the same area.
- All regions of the county are well represented.
- Creates a Federal Voting Rights mandated district for the growing Latino population in the central part of the county centered in Santa Ana.
- Creates a district where the growing Asian population is the plurality of the eligible voters, centered in Little Saigon and the Korean communities in North Orange County.
- Has one of the lowest deviations of the proposals, with just under 1% deviation, allowing for all districts to have equitable representation.
- Not gerrymandered, follows the spirit of drawing a fair map, sticking to school district boundaries, trustee area or school attendance area boundaries, main streets, freeways and natural dividing lines such as the Santa Ana River.

Thank you! Erika Jewell



ORANGE COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION

200 Kalmus Drive P.O. Box 9050 Costa Mesa, CA 92628

SPECIAL MEETING AND PUBLIC HEARING AGENDA

Thursday, January 27, 2022 3:00 p.m.

<u>Meeting Location</u> Orange County Department of Education Board Room 200 Kalmus Drive Costa Mesa, CA 92628

The Orange County Committee on School District Organization is an eleven-member committee comprised of two representatives from each supervisorial district and one member at large elected by representatives from local boards of education. The County Committee studies and makes recommendations in the areas of (a) the organization and reorganization of school districts; (b) changes in school district boundaries; and (c) the number of trustees and the manner by which they are elected. For more information on the County Committee, please visit its website at http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO/Pages/default.aspx.

ITEMS ON THE AGENDA. Any person may address the County Committee as a part of tonight's public meeting and/or at the end of the meeting under Presentations from the Public (for items not on the agenda).¹ Prior to the opening of the meeting, a Request to Address the County Committee card (located at the back of the room) should be completed and submitted to Laurie Weiss, Orange County Department of Education staff. Once the agenda item has been opened for public comment, no additional Request to Address the County Committee cards shall be accepted for that topic.

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Flag Salute
- 3. Roll Call
- 4. Adoption of Agenda (ACTION)
- 5. Acceptance of Minutes January 21, 2022 (ACTION)

¹ Public testimony shall be limited to a maximum of 30 minutes per item with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker, except as otherwise noted.

- 6. Public Comments of Persons Desiring to Address the County Committee: Not to Exceed 3 minutes per person/30 minutes total
- CLOSED SESSION: CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL EXISTING LITIGATION - Pursuant to Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9.
 Name of Case: Orange County Board of Education et al. v. Orange County Committee on School District Organization, Case Number: Unassigned
- 8. New Business: (4:30 p.m.)
 - A. Staff Report Adjustment to Orange County Board of Education Trustee Area Boundaries following 2020 Federal Census
 - B. Public Hearing Proposed plans to adjust the Orange County Board of Education trustee area boundaries following the 2020 Federal Census, including: (a) Map 5 Modified by RP1; (b) Map 9B (Submitted by Wright & Converted into Maptitude by Redistricting Partners); (c) Option 5 Modified RP 1B (Modified by Redistricting Partners); (d) Draft Map 9B V2 (Modified by Redistricting Partners)
 - 1. Open Public Hearing
 - 2. Demographics and Plan Presentations
 - 3. Public Comment
 - 4. Rebuttal
 - 5. Questions from County Committee Members
 - 6. Close Public Hearing
 - C. Discussion from County Committee Members
 - D. Vote on the Redistricting Plan to adjust the Orange County Board of Education trustee area boundaries following the 2020 Federal Census. (ACTION)
- 9. Presentations from the Public: Not to exceed 3 minutes per person/ 30 minutes total. Any persons present desiring to address the County Committee on any proper matter <u>not on the agenda</u> may do so at this time, provided that no action may be taken on any item not on the agenda.
- 10. Next Meeting: To Be Determined
- 11. Adjournment.


Individuals with disabilities in need of copies of the agenda and/or the agenda packet or in need of auxiliary aides and services may request assistance by contacting Laurie Weiss, Manager, Business Services at (714) 966-4234.

For information concerning this meeting, please contact: Laurie Weiss, Manager, Business Services Orange County Department of Education Phone: (714) 966-4234 Email: ccsdo@ocde.us or visit the County Committee website at http://www.ocde.us/CCSDO/Pages/default.aspx

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

OC Board of Education


Option 5 Modified by RP1



S REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

OC Board of Education

Option 5 Modified by RP1 with Inc




OC Board of Education

 \otimes

 \mathbb{V}


Option 5 Modified 1 - USDs



REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

OC Board of Education


Option 5 Modified 1 - HSDs



REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

OC Board of Education

Option 5 Modified 1 - Cities



45
S
inz
Size
KV KY
MA

OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified by RP 1

2020 Census

ß	662,433	23,831	3.7%	464,373	70.1%	131,823	%6.91	59,632	%0.6	6,605	1.0%
	•			,							
4	638,601	Ļ	-0.0%	246,032	38.5%	236,408	37.0%	143,383	22.5%	12,778	2.0%
м	614,774	-23,828	-3.7%	308,094	50.1%	110,255	17.9%	185,806	30.2%	10,619	1.7%
2	638,605	3	0.0%	219,342	34.3%	192,602	30.2%	218,669	34.2%	7,992	1.3%
-	638,597	Ņ	-0.0%	115,678	18.1%	419,193	65.6%	91,777	14.4%	11,949	1.9%
	Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)


S.	481,670	367,655	76.3%	66,040	13.7%	40,887	8.5%	7,088	1.5%
								C	
4	416,495	206,934	49.7%	116,902	28.1%	82,644	19.8%	10,015	2.4%
х	384,938	238,126	61.9%	55,387	14.4%	85,124	22.1%	6,301	1.6%
2	E	Д	%	31	%	13	*	6	%
	434,711	189,071	43.5%	95,481	22.0%	143,493	33.0%	6,666	1.5%
-	347,862	102,028	29.3%	177,105	50.9%	59,244	17.0%	9,485	2.7%
	Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %




OC Board of ducation

Option 5 Modified by RP 1


District 1



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





opulation	Deviation	tion Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino Latino %	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
638,597	ų	-0.0%	115,678	18.1%	419,193	65.6%	91,777	14.4%	11,949	1.9%
Total CVAP		VAP Other C	VAP % Lat	ino CVAP La	atino CVAP %	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	Asian CV	'AP % Blac	sk CVAP BI	ack CVAP %

2.7%

9,485

17.0%

59,244

50.9%

177,105


29.3%

102,028


347,862

OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified 1 - USDs

District 1



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population




Black %	1.9%	ck CVAP %	2.7%
Black	11,949	ck CVAP Bla	9,485
Asian %	14.4%	VAP % Blac	
Asian	91,777	Asian C	17.0%
Latino %	65.6%	Asian CVAP	59,244
	419,193	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	50.9%
Other % Latino	18.1%	tino CVAP La	177,105
Other	115,678	/AP % La	%
	0.0%	Other C\	29.3%
Devia	Ģ	CVAP	~
Deviation Deviation %	ή	Other	10
opulation E	638,597	Total CVAP	347,862




OC Board of ducation **Option 5 Modified 1 - HSDs**


District 1



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population




1000	ר י	20.0		2.0	20.07	0.00	11/10		10.1	22
	(
		VAP OTHER C	VAP % La		auno UVAP %	IOTAI LVAP OTHER LVAP OTHER LVAP % LAUNO LVAP LAUNO LVAP % ASIAN LVAP ASIAN LVAP % BIACK LVAP BIACK LVAP %		AP % BIAC	R OVAL U	SIACK UVAP %
		102 OC OC				1001				
						744				/ / //


REDISTRICTING PARTNERS


OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified 1 - Cities

District 1



ensus	
υ	
2020	






Black %	1.9%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	2.7%
Black	11,949	ack CVAP	9,485
Asian %	14.4%	VAP % Bla	%
Asian	91,777	Asian C	17.0%
Latino % Asian	65.6%	Asian CVAP	59,244
Latino	419,193	atino CVAP %	50.9%
Other % Latino	18.1%	itino CVAP L	177,105
Other	115,678	VAP % La	%
Deviation Deviation %	.0.0%	Other C	29.3%
on Dev	1	r cvap	~
Deviatio	ų		¥
opulation	638,597	Total CVAP	347,862



OC Board of ducation


Option 5 Modified by RP 1

District 2



Black %	1.3%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	1.5%
Black	7,992	ick CVAP B	6,666
Asian %	34.2%	CVAP % Bla	33.0%
Asian	218,669	P Asian C	
Latino %	30.2%	% Asian CVA	143,493
Other % Latino	192,602	atino CVAP 9	22.0%
	34.3%	atino CVAP L	95,481
Other	219,342	VAP % Lá	5%
viation %	0.0%	Other C	43.5%
Deviation Deviation % Other	3	Other CVAF	189,071
opulation D	638,605	Total CVAP	434,711

2020 Census








S C REDISTRICTING

OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified 1 - USDs


District 2



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Deviation Deviation %OtherOther %LatinoLatino %Asian %BlackBlack %30.0%219,34234.3%192,60230.2%218,66934.2%7,9921.3%APOther CVAPOther CVAP %Latino CVAP %Asian CVAP %Black CVAP %Black CVAP %143.5%95,48122.0%143,49333.0%6,6661.5%				
Deviation % Other Other % Latino Latino % Asian Black 0.0% 219,342 34.3% 192,602 30.2% 218,669 34.2% 7,992 AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP B AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP Black CVAP B AP Other CVAP % 143,493 33.0% 6,666 0		1.3%	lack CVAP %	1.5%
Deviation % Other Other % Latino Asian Asian % 0.0% 219,342 34.3% 192,602 30.2% 218,669 34.2% AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Blac AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Blac 43.5% 95,481 22.0% 143,493 33.0% 6	Black	7,992	ck CVAP B	5,666
Deviation % Other Latino Latino % Asian 0.0% 219,342 34.3% 192,602 30.2% 218,669 AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CV AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP 33.0°	Asian %	34.2%	/AP % Blac	
Deviation % Other Cuther % Latino Latino % 0.0% 219,342 34.3% 192,602 30.2% AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAF AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP 143,493	Asian		Asian CV	33.0
Deviation % Other Other % Latino 0.0% 219,342 34.3% 192,602 AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP 9 43.5% 95,481 22.0%	Latino %		6 Asian CVAF	143,493
Deviation % Other Other % 0.0% 219,342 34.3% AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP L	Latino	192,602	atino CVAP 9	22.0%
Deviation % Other 0.0% 219,342 AP Other CVAP % Lat 43.5%	Other %	34.3%	ino CVAP L	
Deviation % 0.0% AP Other C	Other	219,342	:VAP % Lat	5%
	Jeviation %	0.0%	'AP Other C	43.


Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP %

opulation 638,605




OC Board of ducation Option 5 Modified 1 - HSDs

District 2



2020 Census








Black %	1.3%	ack CVAP %	1.5%
Black	7,992	ack CVAP BI	6,666
Asian %	34.2%	.VAP % Bla	33.0%
Asian	218,669	o Asian C	33.(
Latino %	30.2%	Asian CVAF	143,493
Other % Latino Latino %	192,602	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP K Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	22.0%
Other %	34.3%	tino CVAP L	95,481
Other	219,342	VAP % Lat	%
viation Deviation % Other	0.0%	AP Other C	43.5%
Deviation D	3	Other CV/	189,071
opulation	638,605	Total CVAP	434,711


REDISTRICTING Solution


OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified 1 - Cities

District 2



2020 Census






Black %	1.3%	ck CVAP %	1.5%
Black	7,992	ck CVAP Bla	6,666
Asian %	34.2%	/AP % Bla	
Asian	218,669	Asian CV	33.0%
Latino %	30.2%	Asian CVAP	143,493
Latino	192,602	otal CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP K Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	22.0%
Other %	34.3%	tino CVAP L	95,481
Other	219,342	CVAP % Lat	43.5%
eviation %	0.0%	AP Other 0	43.
eviation De	м	Other CVA	14
pulation Deviation Deviation %	638,605	Total CVAP	434,711







OC Board of ducation **Option 5 Modified by RP 1**

District 3



2020 Census






Second Stricting PARTNERS

OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified 1 - USDs

District 3



Black %

Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %

1.6%

6,301

22.1

85,124

14.4%

55,387

61.9%

3,126

384,938

1%


Black %

1%




OC Board of ducation Option 5 Modified 1 - HSDs


District 3



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %

1.6%

6,301

22.1%

85,124

14.4%

55,387


61.9%

238,126

© REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

OC Board of Education **Option 5 Modified 1 - Cities**

District 3







OC Board of ducation

Option 5 Modified by RP 1


District 4



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



2.0%	Black CVAP %	2.4%
12,778	slack CVAP	10,015
22.5%	CVAP % E	8%
143,383	Asian C	19.8%
37.0%	Asian CVAF	82,644
236,408	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP K Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP 8 Black CVAP %	28.1%
38.5%	ino CVAP I	116,902
246,032	r CVAP % Lati	49.7% 1
-0.0%	P Other	4
L-	Other CVA	206,934
638,601	Total CVAP	416,495

Black % 2.0%

Black

Asian %

Asian


Latino Latino %

Other %

Source Redistricting Control Partners

OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified 1 - USDs

District 4



%	20	
Black %	2.0%	i i
Black	12,778	
Asian %	22.5%	
Asian	143,383	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Latino %	37.0%	
Latino	236,408	
Other %	38.5%	
6 Other	246,032	
DeviationDeviation % Other	-0.0%	101 TO 101 TO 101
Deviation	-	
Population	638,601	

Total CVAP Other CVAPOther CVAP % atino CVAP % Asian CVAPAsian CVAP % Black CVAP 8 %

2.4%

10,015

19.8%

82,644

28.1%


116,902

49.7%

206,934

416,49!

2020 Census










OC Board of ducation Option 5 Modified 1 - HSDs

District 4



S
- 3
- UN
-
U
Ŭ
-
õ
-
-
020
-
020






Black %	2.0%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP 8 Black CVAP %	2.4%
Black	12,778	ick CVAP	10,015
Asian %	22.5%	VAP % Bla	3%
Asian	143,383	Asian C	19.8%
Latino %	37.0%	Asian CVAP	82,644
Latino	236,408	atino CVAP %	28.1%
Other %	38.5%	tino CVAP La	116,902
Other	246,032	VAP % La	%
	.0.0%	Other C	49.7%
Deviation Deviation %	1 	Other CVAP	206,934
opulation E	638,601	Total CVAP	416,495

© REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

OC Board of Education **Option 5 Modified 1 - Cities**

District 4



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %

2.4%

10,015

19.8

82,644

28.1%

116,902

49.7%

6,934

416,495

Black %

2%

Black %

2%




OC Board of ducation Option 5 Modified by RP 1


District 5



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



1.5%	7,088	8.5%	8	40,887	13.7%	66,040	3%	5 76.3%	367,655	481,670
Black CVAP %	3lack CVAP	CVAP % E	Asian C	Asian CVAP	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	tino CVAP 1	VAP % La	/AP Other C		Total CVAP
1.0%	6,605	9.0%	59,632	19.9%	131,823	70.1%	464,373	3.7%	23,831	662,433
DIACK %	Asidin Asidin % DidCK	Asidin	Asidu			Ouner %	Ouner			uoneindo.




-


REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified 1 - USDs


District 5



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Black %


Asian %

76.3% 6	70.1% 131,823 19.9% 59,632 9.0% 6,605 1.0%	Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	66,040 13.7% 40,887 8.5% 7,088 1.5%
		her CVAP % La	76.3%
	Total CVAP Other CVAP		481,670




OC Board of ducation **Option 5 Modified 1 - HSDs**


District 5



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Black % 1.0%

Black 6,605

Asian % 9.0%

Asian 59,632

Latino % 19.9%

Latino 131,823

Other % 70.1%


Population Deviation Deviation % Other

662,433	23,831	3.7%	464,373	70.1%	131,823	19.9%	59,632	9.0%	6,605	1.0%
	Cthar CVAD & Hard CVAD & Latin CVAD & Acian CVAD & Acian CVAD & Black CVAD &	D Other C	V/AD % Latir			Volian (V/V	D Acian			
481,670	367,655	76.	76.3% 6(66,040	13.7%	40,887	8.5%	-	7,088	1.5%


S C REDISTRICTING

OC Board of Education Option 5 Modified 1 - Cities


District 5



2020 Census







Black %

Asian %

Latino %

1.5%

7,088

8.5%

40,887

13.7%

66,040


76.3%

Μ



OC Board of Education


Draft Plan 9B Submission





OC Board of Education

Plan 9B Submission Incumbents




REDISTRICTING PARTNERS Σ **OC Board of Education** Draft Plan 9B USD Overlay SOUTH CAT Los Alami N Newport-Mesa Uni BreatOlinida Unified Unified School Distri Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Distr aguna Beach Unified School District Tustin Unified School District wine Unified School District District 3 Stange United Schoo (J) Capistrano Unified School District Saddleback Valley Unified School District

Section 2 Structure Se


OC Board of Education

Draft Plan 9B HSD Overlay



OC Board of Education

Draft Plan 9B City Overlay



45
20
S Z
62
~~~
00
N A
$\infty \approx$

## OC Board of Education 9B - Public Submission Incumbents

2020 Census

	-	2	З	4	ъ
Population	638,795	641,452	636,779	640,604	635,380
Deviation	193	2,850	-1,823	2,002	-3,222
Deviation %	0.0%	0.4%	-0.3%	0.3%	-0.5%
Other	109,928	370,422	287,233	154,324	431,612
Other %	17.2%	57.7%	45.1%	24.1%	67.9%
Latino	431,450	132,877	155,993	246,977	122,984
Latino %	67.5%	20.7%	24.5%	38.6%	19.4%
Asian	86,841	127,879	183,519	227,623	73,405
Asian %	13.6%	19.9%	28.8%	35.5%	11.6%
Black	10,576	10,274	10,034	11,680	7,379
Black %	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.8%	1.2%
Citizan Vatina Ada Danlation (CVAD)	() acitelian				

# Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)


Ŋ	455,816	339,115	74.4%	62,253	13.7%	47,545	10.4%	6,903	1.5%
								5	
4	406,601	136,639	33.6%	113,995	28.0%	146,581	36.1%	9,386	2.3%
м	400,962	227,957	56.9%	78,984	19.7%	87,482	21.8%	6,539	1.6%
2	453,546	301,634	66.5%	70,424	15.5%	72,815	16.1%	8,673	%6:L
-	348,751	98,469	28.2%	185,259	53.1%	56,969	16.3%	8,054	2.3%
	Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %



## OC Board of ducation

Draft Plan 9B Submission

### **District 1**



lotal CVAP	Other CVAP	Other C	VAP % Latii	no CVAP I	atino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	6 Black CVAP	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %
638,795	193 (	0.0%	109,928	17.2%	431,450	67.5% 8	86,841 13.	13.6% 10,576	5 1.7%
348.751	98.469	28.2%		185.259	53.1%	56.969	16.3%	8 054	2 3%

Black %

Black


Asian %


Asian

Other % Latino %

opulation Deviation Deviation % Other

## **2020 Census**







## 


## **OC Board of Education** Draft Plan 9B USD Overlay

### **District 1**



## 2020 Census






Black %	1.7%	ack CVAP %	2.3%
Black	10,576	ck CVAP BI	8,054
Asian %	13.6%	CVAP % Bla	16.3%
Asian	86,841	Asian (	16
Latino %	67.5%	Asian CVAP	56,969
Other % Latino	431,450	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	53.1%
Other %	17.2%	tino CVAP L	185,259
Other	109,928	VAP % La	%
Deviation Deviation %	0.0%	AP Other CV	28.2%
eviation [	193	Other CV	<b>б</b>
opulation	638,795	Total CVAP	348,751






## OC Board of Jucation Draft Plan 9B HSD Overlay


### **District 1**



#### Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % Black % 1.7% 2.3% Black 10,576 8,054 Asian % 13.6% 16.3% Asian 86,841 56,969 Latino % 67.5% Latino 431,450 53.1% Other % 17.2% 185,259 109,928 opulation Deviation Deviation % Other 28.2% 0.0% 98,469 193 Total CVAP 348,751 638,795

## **2020 Census**








## 

## **OC Board of Education**


Draft Plan 9B City Overlay


### **District 1**



		%	
Black %	1.7%	Black CVAP	2.3%
Black	10,576	ick CVAP	8,054
Asian %	13.6%	/AP % Bla	8
Asian	86,841	o Asian CV	16.3%
Latino % Asian	67.5%	% Asian CVAF	56,969
Latino L	431,450	atino CVAP 9	53.1%
Other %	17.2%	cino CVAP L	185,259
Other	109,928	VAP % Lat	. %7
	0.0%	P Other C	28.2%
eviation De	193	Other CVA	ŋ
Population Deviation Deviation %	638,795	Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	348,751

## 2020 Census






e	
TIN	
RIC	ERS
ISI	INI
	PAR
8	$\bigotimes$
$\otimes$	$\bigcirc$


## OC Board of Bucation


Draft Plan 9B Submission

## **District 2**



- N
-
_
S
C
Ð
Ū
-
0
Ň
0
N








Latino % Asian Asian %	6 Latino Latino% Asian Asian%	Other % Latino Latino % Asian	Other         Other %         Latino         Latino %         Asian           370,422         57.7%         132,877         20.7%         127,879	Other % Latino Latino % Asian
20.7% 127,879 19.9%	132,877 20.7% 127,879 19.9%	57.7% 132,877 20.7% 127,879		57.7% 132,877 20.7% 127,879
Latino % Asian	6 Latino Latino % Asian	Other % Latino Latino % Asian	Other	Other
20.7% 127,879	132,877 20.7% 127,879	2 57.7% 132,877 20.7% 127,879	370,422	370,422
Latino 9	6 Latino Latino ⁵	Other % Latino Latino 9	Other	Other
20.7%	132,877 20.7%	2 57.7% 132,877 20.7%	370,422	370,422
	6 Latino	Other % Latino	Other	Other
	132,877	2 57.7% 132,877	370,422	370,422

## S REDISTRICTING PARTNERS


## **OC Board of Education**

Draft Plan 9B USD Overlay


## **District 2**



## 2020 Census








641,452	2,850	0.4%	370,422	57.7%	57.7% 132,877 20.7% 127,879	20.7%	127,879	19.9%	10,274 1.6	1.6%
Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAPLatino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	Other CV.	AP Other	CVAP % Lati	no CVAPL	atino CVAP 9	% Asian CV	AP Asian C\	/AP % Blac	ck CVAP Bl	ack CVAP %
453,546	30	66	66.5% 7	70,424	15.5%	72,815	16.1%	8	673	1.9%

#### NG R ISTRICT RICT Ō ~


## OC Board of **ducation**


Draft Plan 9B HSD Overlay

### **District 2**



## 2020 Census








1.6%	3lack CVAP %	1.9%
10,274	slack CVAP	8,673
79 19.9%	ian CVAP % E	16.1%
127,879	AP Asi	
20.7%	Asian CV/	72,815
132,877	01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15.5%
57.7%	no CVAP L	70,424
370,422	.P % Lati	
	ther CVA	66.5%
0.4%	AP Ot	4
2,850	Other CV	301,634
641,452	Total CVAP	453,546

#### **REDISTRICTING PARTNERS** Ť


## **OC Board of Education**

Draft Plan 9B City Overlay


## **District 2**



## 2020 Census



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**





Black %

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %


pulation Deviation Deviation % Other

3lack CVAP %	ack CVAP E	Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	Asian CVAP A	atino CVAP % . TE E%	tino CVAP La	er CVAP % La	CVAP Othe	Other (	Total CVAP
1.6%	10,274	127,879 19.9%	20.7% 127,	132,877	57.7%	370,422	0.4%	2,850	641,452




OC Board of ducation Draft Plan 9B Submission


## **District 3**



- (	N
1	3
- 1	
(	S
1	C
- (	Ū
	Ĵ
- 6	
	_
- (	D
- 7	
Ç	N
- 0	D
- 2	
- 6	N



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



Black %	1.6%	ick CVAP %
Black	10,034	k CVAP Bla
Asian %	28.8%	VAP % Blac
Asian	183,519	P Asian C
Latino % Asian	24.5%	Asian CVAI
	155,993	tino CVAP %
Other % Latino	45.1%	ino CVAP La
Other	287,233	VAP % Lat
Deviation Deviation % Other	-0.3%	her CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %
Deviation	-1,823	Other C
opulation	636,779	Total CVAP

1.6%

6,539

21.8%

87,482

19.7%

78,984


56.9%

227,957



## **OC Board of Education** Draft Plan 9B USD Overlay

**District 3** 



Black % 1%

Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %

1.6%

6,539

21.85

87,482

19.7%

78,984

56.9%

1,957


400,962

1% Black %



OC Board of ducation Draft Plan 9B HSD Overlay

## **District 3**



1%

Black %

Asian %

Latino %

28%

24%

Black % 1%

Asian %

Latino %

21%

%6L


Black %	1.6%
Black	10,034
Asian %	28.8%
Asian	183,519
Latino %	24.5%
Latino	155,993
Other %	45.1%
Other	287,233
Deviation %	-0.3%
Deviation	-1,823
Population	636,779

Total CVAP Other CVAPOther CVAP %Latino CVAPLatino CVAP %Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP % 1.6% 6,539 21.8% 87,482 19.7% 78,984 56.9% 227,957 400,962


## **Second Structing PARTNERS**


## **OC Board of Education** Draft Plan 9B City Overlay

## **District 3**



## 2020 Census






Ĩ	1.6%	6,539	%	21.8%	87,482	19.7%	78,984	3%	56.9%	22	400,962
% д	Black CVA	ack CVAP	/AP % Bla	) Asian C	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	atino CVAP	tino CVAP L	VAP % La	VAP Other C	Other C	Total CVAP
	1.6%	10,034	28.8%	183,519	24.5%	155,993	45.1%	287,233	-0.3%	-1,823	636,779
%	Black %	Asian % Black	Asian %	Asian	Latino %	Other % Latino		Other	Deviation Deviation %	Deviation	opulation



Draft Plan 9B Submission


### **District 4**




	tin	
	F	
ANA		
1 N VS		
2		

% >	20	дР %	ĺ
Black %	1.8%	Black CV/	2.3%
Black	11,680	ck CVAP	9.386
Asian %	35.5%	/AP % Bla	
Asian	227,623	Asian CV	36.1%
Latino %	38.6%	Asian CVAP	146.581
Latino	246,977	Fotal CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	28.0%
Other %	154,324 24.1%	tino CVAP La	113.995
Other	154,324	VAP % La	%
eviation %	0.3%	AP Other C	33.6%
eviation D6	2,002	Other CVA	136.639
opulation Deviation % Other Other % Latino Latino % Asian Asian % Black	640,604	Total CVAP	406.601

## **2020 Census**






#### **REDISTRICTING** PARTNERS Ř

## **OC Board of Education** Draft Plan 9B USD Overlay

### **District 4**



pulation	pulation DeviationDeviation % Other Other % Latino Latino % Asian Asian % Black Black %	eviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
640,604	640,604 2,002 0.3% 154,324 24,1% 246,977 38.6% 227,623 35.5%	0.3%	154,324	24.1%	246,977	38.6%	227,623	35.5%	11,680	1.8%
Total CVA	Total CVAP. Other CVAPOther CVAP %Latino CVAP %Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	APOther C	VAP %Lati	no CVAR-a	tino CVAP	%Asian CV/	AP Asian C	VAP % Blac	k CVAP BI	ack CVAP %

2.3%

Ç,

36.1%

146,581

28.0%


113,995


33.6%

136,

406,601

## **2020 Census**






	Ξ	
	Asian %	
887 7	Latino %	4.0
	Other %	




OC Board of ducation Draft Plan 9B HSD Overlay

### **District 4**



## 2020 Census






Black Black %	11,680 1.8%
Asian %	35.5%
Asian	227,623
Latino %	38.6%
Latino	246,977
Other %	24.1%
5 Other	154,324
<b>Deviation</b> %	0.3%
Deviation Deviation %	2,002
opulation [	640,604


### REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## **OC Board of Education** Draft Plan 9B City Overlay

### **District 4**



S
- 3
Ū
2
Ū
Ŭ
0
Ň
Ö
Ň



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



640,604	2,002	0.3%	154,324	24.1%	246,977	38.6%	227,623	35.5%	11,680	1.8%
Total CVAP	Other CV	'AP Other	CVAP % Lati	ino CVAPL	Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP 9	% Asian CV	AP Asian CV	/AP % Bla	ck CVAP B	lack CVAP %
406,601			33.6% 1	113,995	28.0%	146,581	36.1%		9,386	2.3%

Black % 1.8%

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino Latino %

Other %

Population Deviation Deviation % Other





OC Board of ducation Draft Plan 9B Submission

### **District 5**



## 2020 Census






	1	%	ľ
Black %	1.2%	Black CVAP	1.5%
Black	7,379	ick CVAP	6,903
Asian %	11.6%	VAP % Bla	%
Asian	73,405	o Asian C'	10.4%
Latino %	19.4%	Asian CVAF	47,545
	122,984	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	13.7%
Other % Latino	67.9%	tino CVAP L	62,253
Other	431,612	VAP % La	%
ition Deviation %	-0.5%	P Other C	74.4%
Deviation De	-3,222	Other CVA	339,115
opulation	635,380	Total CVAP	455,816




### **© REDISTRICTING** PARTNERS

## **OC Board of Education** Draft Plan 9B USD Overlay


### **District 5**



## **2020 Census**



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



Black %

Asian %

Latino %

1

1.5%

6,903

10.4%

47,545

13.7%

62,253

74.4%

M


<b>45</b>
2
SC
28
S
A
$\otimes \otimes$
and the second second

OC Board of ducation Draft Plan 9B HSD Overlay


### **District 5**



S
5
S
C
<b>U</b>
Ŭ
-
0
N
0
2



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



	í	1 101
Black %	1.2%	lack CVAP %
Black	7,379	k CVAP B
Asian %	11.6%	VAP % Blac
Asian	73,405	> Asian C
Latino %	19.4%	6 Asian CVA
Latino	122,984	atino CVAP %
Other %	67.9%	ino CVAP L
Other	431,612	VAP % Lat
Deviation Deviation % Other % Latino Latino % Asian	-0.5%	her CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %
Deviation	-3,222	ð
opulation	635,380	Total CVAP

1

1.5%

6,903

10.4%

47,545

13.7%

62,253


74.4%

339,115



Draft Plan 9B City Overlay

### **District 5**



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %

1.2%

7,379

11.6%

73,405

19.4%

122,984

67.9%

431,612

-0.5%

-3,222

635,380

1.5%

6,903

10.4%

47,545

13.7%

62,253


74.4%

E M

#### 




Option 5 Modified RP #1 B






Option 5 Modified RP #1 B Inc






Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with USDs



#### S REDISTRICTING PARTNERS


#### OC Board of Education

Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with HSDs





Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with Cities



S C REDISTRICTING

OC Board of Education

Option 5 RP1 B

## 2020 Census

5	645,729	7,127	1.1%	452,282	70.0%	128,493	39.9%	58,516	9.1%	6,438	1.0%
4	631,377	-7,225	-1.1%	235,680	37.3%	231,829	36.7%	150,155	23.8%	13,713	2.2%
м	13	6	%	57	%	12	%	ł5	%	4	%
	632,623	-5,979	%6:0-	316,637	50.1%	113,047	17.9%	192,045	30.4%	10,894	1.7%
2	646,099	7,497	1.2%	230,298	35.6%	190,038	29.4%	217,698	33.7%	8,065	1.2%
-	637,182	-1,420	-0.2%	118,622	18.6%	426,874	67.0%	80,853	12.7%	10,833	1.7%
	Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %


# Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

IJ	469,262	357,982	76.3%	64,078	13.7%	40,246	8.6%	6,956	1.5%
4	410,157	198,003	48.3%	113,968	27.8%	86,794	21.2%	11,392	2.8%
м	397,431	244,681	61.6%	57,108	14.4%	89,143	22.4%	6,499	1.6%
2	441,668	197,707	44.8%	94,755	21.5%	142,533	32.3%	6,673	1.5%
	347,158	105,441	30.4%	181,006	52.1%	52,676	15.2%	8,035	2.3%
	ΑP	/AP	/AP %	VAP	VAP %	AP	AP %	AP,	'AP %
	Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %




Orange Courty Office of Draft Map RP1 B: Overview Education


#### **District 1**



## 2020 Census



## **Citizen Voting Age Population**



1.7%	lack CVAP %	2.3%
10,833	ack CVAP B	8,035
12.7%	VAP % Bla	%
80,853	Asian C	15.2%
67.0%	Asian CVAF	52,676
426,874	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP	52.1%
18.6%	atino CVAP I	181,006
118,622	r CVAP % La	30.4%
-0.2%	AP Othe	м
-1,420	Other CV/	105,441
637,182	Total CVAP	347,158

Black % 1.7%

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %

Population Deviation Deviation % Other

8


## REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

### Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with USDs Orange County Office of Education

#### **District 1**




67%



#### **Citizen Voting Age Population** Asian % 12% Latino % Other % 18%

Black %

1%



Total CVAP         Other CVAP         Latino CVAP         Latino CVAP         Asian CVAP         Black CVAP         Black CVAP         Black CVAP %         Black CVAP %	637,182	-1,420	-0.2%	118,622	18.6%	426,874	67.0%	80,853 12.7%	7% 10,833	3 1.7%
41 30.4% 181,006 52.1% 52,676 15.2% 8,035	Total CVAP	Other CVAF	Other CV	/AP % Lat	tino CVAP 1	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	347,158	4	30.49	%	181,006	52.1%	52,676	15.2%	8,035	2.3%

Black %

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %

Deviation Deviation % Other


Population





## Orange Courty Office of Education

Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with HSDs

#### **District 1**







Population [	Deviation Deviation %		Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
637,182	-1,420 -	-0.2%	118,622	18.6%	426,874	67.0% 8	80,853	12.7%	10,833	1.7%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CV	/AP % Li	atino CVAP I	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVA	VP % Blac	ck CVAP	Black CVAP %
347,158	105,441	30.4%	%	181,006	52.1%	52,676	15.2%		8,035	2.3%




## Orange County Office of Education

Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with Cities

#### **District 1**



67%



Black CVAP %	2.3%
Black CVAP	8,035
Asian CVAP %	15.2%
Asian CVAP	52,676
Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	52.1%
Latino CVAP	181,006
Other CVAP %	30.4%
Other CVAP (	4
Total CVAP	347,158

Black % 1.7%

Black 10,833

Asian % 12.7%

Asian

Latino % 67.0%

Latino 426,874

Other % 18.6%

Population Deviation Deviation % Other

118,622

-0.2%

-1,420

637,182

80,853



Black % 1%

Asian %

Latino %


12%



Orange Courty Office of Education


Draft Map RP1 B: Overview


### **District 2**



Black %	1.2%	Black CVAP Black CVAP %	1.5%
Black	8,065	ck CVAP	6,673
Asian %	33.7%	VAP % Bla	%
Asian	217,698	> Asian C	32.3%
Latino %	29.4%	Asian CVAF	142,533
Latino	190,038	otal CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP %	21.5%
Other %	35.6%	ino CVAP L	94,755
Other	230,298	CVAP % Lat	44.8%
Deviation %	1.2%	AP Other 0	44.
Deviation De	7,497	Other CV/	197,707
Population [	646,099 7,497	Total CVAP	441,668

## **2020 Census**










## <u>Orange County Office of</u> Education


Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with USDs

#### **District 2**



Black %	1.2%	llack CVAP %	1.5%
Black	8,065	ck CVAP B	6,673
Asian %	33.7%	VAP % Bla	Ċ
Asian	217,698	P Asian C	32.3%
Latino %	29.4%	Asian CVA	142,533
Latino	190,038	CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP 9	21.5%
Other %	35.6%	ino CVAP L	94,755
Other	230,298	:VAP % Lat	3%
Deviation %	1.2%	AP Other C	44.8%
eviation D	7,497	Other CV	<u>4</u>
Population Deviation	646,099	Total CVAP Other	441,668

### 2020 Census









## Orange Courty Office of Education


Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with HSDs

#### **District 2**



## 2020 Census








Black Black %	8,065 1.2%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	6,673 1.5%
Asian %	33.7%	VAP % Black	
Asian	217,698	Asian C	32.3%
atino %	29.4%	Asian CVAP	142,533
Latino L	190,038	atino CVAP %	21.5%
Other %	35.6%	itino CVAP L	94,755
Other	230,298	CVAP % La	44.8%
viation Deviation %	1.2%	AP Other	
Deviation D	7,497	Other CV/	197,707
Population D	646,099	Total CVAP	441,668


## REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

### Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with Cities Orange County Office of Education

#### **District 2**



## 2020 Census



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



1.2%	CVAP %	1.5%
35	P Black	
8,065	lack CVA	6,673
33.7%	/AP % B	8
217,698	Asian C\	32.3%
	L CVAP	142,533
29.4%	6 Asiar	14:
190,038	o CVAP 9	21.5%
6[	Latine	
35.6%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	94,755
230,298	% Lat	
230	r CVAF	44.8%
1.2%	othe	4
	r cvaf	5
7,497	Othe	h
646,099	Total CVAP	441,668

Black %

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %


Population Deviation Deviation % Other

No. of Concession, Name		
1 1 2 2 2		
	10	
A CONTRACTOR		
	$\sim$	
$\sim$		
10		
7 T		
1000		
and the second se		
K V		
	Contraction of the	
	2	


## Orange Courty Office of Education

Draft Map RP1 B: Overview


### **District 3**



ensus	
20 C	
20	



## **Citizen Voting Age Population**






Population	Deviation Deviation %		Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian A	Asian %	Black	Black %
632,623	-5,979	-0.9%	316,637	50.1%	113,047	17.9% 12	192,045	30.4%	10,894	1.7%
Total CVAP		AP Other C	VAP % La	tino CVAP	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAF	o % Blacl	<pre>&lt; CVAP B</pre>	lack CVAP %
397,431	244,681	61.6%	%	57,108	14.4%	89,143	22.4%	9	6,499	1.6%

## REDISTRICTING PARTNERS


### Orange County Office of Education Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with USDs

#### **District 3**

## 2020 Census



Black Black %	10,894 1.7%	Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	6,499 1.6%
Asian %	30.4%	.VAP % Black	Ċ
Asian	192,045	AP Asian C	22.4%
Latino % Asian	17.9%	% Asian CV/	89,143
Other % Latino	113,047	atino CVAP	14.4%
	50.1%	atino CVAP I	57,108
% Other	316,637	. CVAP % Li	61.6%
viation	%6:0-	<u>م</u>	81 6
Deviation Dev	-5,979	Total CVAP Other CVAI	
^o opulation	632,623	– Total CVAP	397,431



Black %

Asian %

Latino %

30%

17%


1%

	Solution in the second		
of HSDs		Black % 1.7%	ck CVAP % 1.6%
Orange Courty Office of Education Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with HSDs		Black 10,894	Black CVAP Black CVAP % 6,499 1.6%
3: Overl		Asian % 30.4%	/AP % Blac % 6
e Cou tion ap RPI E	Y NON	Asian 192,045	.P Asian CVAP % 22.4%
Orange Cou Education Draft Map RP1 B:		Latino % 17.9%	5 Asian CVA 89,143
		Latino 113,047	tino CVAP % 14.4%
	And the second s	Other % So.1%	Other CVAP         Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP           244,681         61.6%         57,108         14.4%         89,143
REDISTRICTING PARTNERS		Other 316,637	er CVAP % Lati 61.6%
ISTRI (TNER		Deviation %	AP Other C
	ct 3	ől 'l	
	District 3	Population 632,623	Total CVAP 397,431

<b>NG</b>	
ICTIN	S
STR	NER.
REDI	PART
8	
$\otimes$	$\bigcirc$

### Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with Cities Orange County Office of Education


**District 3** 







#### Orange Courty Office of Draft Map RPI B: Overview Education

### **District 4**



2020 Census





Black %	2.2%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %
% Black	13,713	slack CVAP
Asian %	23.8%	CVAP % I
Asian	150,155	AP Asian
Latino %	36.7%	% Asian CV
Other % Latino	231,829	atino CVAP
Other %	37.3%	atino CVAP L
Other	235,680	CVAP % La
Deviation Deviation % Other	-1.1%	CVAP Other
Deviation	-7,225	Other (
Population	631,377	Total CVAP


	Black CV	
and a state of the	Black CVAP	
	Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CV	
	Asian CVAP	
	Latino CVAP %	
	Latino CVAP	
	Other CVAP %	
	Other CVAP	
	Total CVAP	






### Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with USDs Orange County Office of Education


**District 4** 



## **2020 Census**



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



631,377	-7,225	-1.1%	235,680	37.3%	231,829	36.7%	150,155	23.8%	13,713	2.2%
Total CVAP	Other CVA	P Other (	CVAP % Lati	no CVAP Lá	atino CVAP %	S Asian CVAP	Asian CV	AP % Bla	ck CVAP	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %
410,157	003	48	48.3% T	113,968	27.8%	86,794	21.2%	C	11,392	2.8%

Black %

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino Latino %

Other %


Population Deviation Deviation % Other




## Orange Courty Office of Education

Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with HSDs


### **District 4**



2020 Census



## **Citizen Voting Age Population**



Population	Deviation Deviation %	viation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
631,377	-7,225	-1.1%	235,680	37.3%	231,829	36.7% ]	150,155	23.8%	13,713	2.2%
Total CVAP		P Other C	VAP % Latii	no CVAP La	itino CVAP %	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	Asian CV	/AP % Black	CVAP Bla	ack CVAP %

2.8%

11,392

21.2%

86,794

27.8%

113,968

48.3%

198,003




## Orange County Office of Education

Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with Cities

**2020 Census** 

### **District 4**



Black %

2%

Black %

2%

Population [	Deviation Deviation %		Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
631,377	-7,225	-1.1%	235,680	37.3%	231,829	36.7%	150,155	23.8%	13,713	2.2%
Total CVAP	Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAPLatino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP 9	P Other C	VAP % Lati	no CVAPLa	tino CVAP	% Asian CVA	AP Asian C	VAP % Blac	k CVAP BI	ack CVAP %
410,157	198,003	48.3%		113,968	27.8%	86,794	21.2	E	11,392	2.8%




## Orange Courty Office of Education

Draft Map RPI B: Overview

2020 Census

#### **District 5**



Black %	1.0%	ack CVAP %	1.5%
Black	6,438	lack CVAP Bl	6,956
Asian %	9.1%	CVAP % B	8.6%
Asian	58,516	Asian	œ
Latino %	19.9%	Asian CVAP	40,246
Latino	128,493	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP	13.7%
Other %	70.0%	tino CVAP Li	64,078
Other	452,282	CVAP % La	76.3%
Deviation Deviation %	1.1%	AP Other (	
Deviation [	7,127	Other CV	357,982
Population [	645,729	Total CVAP	469,262


.

## REDISTRICTING PARTNERS


## **Orange County Office of** Education


Draft Map RPI B: Overlay with USDs

#### **District 5**



**2020 Census** 





Black %

Asian %

8%

1%


645,729	7,127	N.I.	% 452,282	32 70.0%	128,493	19.9%	58,516 9.	9.1% 6,438	8 1.0%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP C	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	otal CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP 9	6 Black CVAF	Black CVAP %
469,262		82	76.3%	64,078	13.7%	40,246	8.6%	6,956	1.5%




Orange Courty Office of Education


Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with HSDs

#### **District 5**



### 2020 Census





Black %

Asian %

Latino %



Black %

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino Latino %

Other %

Population Deviation Deviation % Other


1.0%	Black CVAP %	1.5%
6,438		6,956
9.1%	n CVAP %	8.6%
58,516	VAP Asiaı	Ģ
19.9%	% Asian C	40,246
128,493	Latino CVAP 9	13.7%
70.0%	itino CVAP	64,078
452,282	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP	76.3%
1.1%	CVAP Oth	57,982
7,127	Other	357,
645,729	Total CVAP	469,262




## Urange Cou-uy Unice of Education

Draft Map RP1 B: Overlay with Cities


#### **District 5**



S
- 5
S
C
Ū
U
U
0 0
-
-
-
-
-







к %	1.0%	'AP %	
Black %	1.0	3lack CV	1.5%
Black	6,438	cVAP	6,956
Asian %	9.1%	VP % Blac	<b>U</b>
Asian	58,516	Asian CV∕	8.6%
Latino % /	19.9% 5	Asian CVAP	40,246
Latino La	128,493	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP K Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	13.7%
Other %	70.0%	tino CVAP Lat	64,078
Other	452,282	VAP % La	%
/iation %	1.1%	other C	76.3%
Deviation Deviation %	7,127	Other CVAF	357,982
pulation E	645,729	Total CVAP	469,262



#### **Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)**

#### Wednesday, January 26, 2022

Census Place	District	PPA_Populatio	%
		n	-
Aliso Viejo	3	2,055	3.9
Aliso Viejo	5	50,167	96.1
Anaheim	1	225,021	64.7
Anaheim	4	122,732	35.3
Brea	4	47,397	100.0
Buena Park	4	84,187	100.0
Costa Mesa	3	563	0.5
Costa Mesa	5	111,576	99.5
Coto de Caza	5	14,723	100.0
Cypress	2	50,235	100.0
Dana Point	5	33,144	100.0
Fountain Valley	2	57,120	100.0
Fullerton	4	143,930	100.0
Garden Grove	1	8,363	4.9
Garden Grove	2	163,983	95.2
Huntington Beach	2	139,711	70.2
Huntington Beach	5	59,322	29.8
Irvine	1	0	0.0
Irvine	3	307,958	100.0
Irvine	5	0	0.0

10:10 AM

Census Place	District	PPA_Populatio n	%
La Habra	4	63,234	100.0
La Palma	4	15,597	100.0
Ladera Ranch	5	26,188	100.0
Laguna Beach	5	23,061	100.0
Laguna Hills	3	31,357	99.9
Laguna Hills	5	42	0.1
Laguna Niguel	3	0	0.0
Laguna Niguel	5	64,417	100.0
Laguna Woods	3	16,773	95.0
Laguna Woods	5	885	5.0
Lake Forest	3	85,965	100.0
Las Flores	5	6,004	100.0
Los Alamitos	2	11,795	100.0
Midway City	2	8,845	100.0
Mission Viejo	3	46,118	49.2
Mission Viejo	5	47,642	50.8
Modjeska	3	632	100.0
Newport Beach	3	197	0.2
Newport Beach	5	85,141	99.8
North Tustin	3	25,749	100.0
Orange	1	65,945	47.0
Orange	3	44,623	31.8
Orange	4	29,623	21.1
Placentia	4	51,925	100.0

Census Place	District	PPA_Populatio n	%
Rancho Mission Viejo	5	10,385	100.0
Rancho Santa Margarita	3	36,746	76.6
Rancho Santa Margarita	5	11,254	23.5
Rossmoor	2	10,634	100.0
San Clemente	5	64,384	100.0
San Juan Capistrano	5	35,271	100.0
Santa Ana	1	265,801	85.4
Santa Ana	2	45,592	14.6
Santa Ana	5	0	0.0
Seal Beach	2	25,283	100.0
Silverado	3	932	100.0
Stanton	2	37,989	99.8
Stanton	4	78	0.2
Trabuco Canyon	3	1,020	100.0
Tustin	1	62,345	77.5
Tustin	3	18,067	22.5
Villa Park	3	5,850	100.0
Westminster	2	91,083	100.0
Williams Canyon	3	93	100.0
Yorba Linda	4	68,415	100.0

Census Place	Listed by District	
	PPA_Populatio	%
	n	
District 1		
Anaheim (part)	225,021	64.7
Garden Grove (part)	8,363	4.9
Irvine (part)	0	0.0
Orange (part)	65,945	47.0
Santa Ana (part)	265,801	85.4
Tustin (part)	62,345	77.5
District 1 Totals	627,475	
District 2		
Cypress	50,235	100.0
Fountain Valley	57,120	100.0
Garden Grove (part)	163,983	95.2
Huntington Beach (par	rt) 139,711	70.2
Los Alamitos	11,795	100.0
Midway City	8,845	100.0
Rossmoor	10,634	100.0
Santa Ana (part)	45,592	14.6
Seal Beach	25,283	100.0
Stanton (part)	37,989	99.8
Westminster	91,083	100.0
District 2 Totals		

PPA_Populatio n

%

#### District 3

Aliso Viejo (part)	2,055	3.9	
Costa Mesa (part)	563	0.5	
Irvine (part)	307,958	100.0	
Laguna Hills (part)	31,357	99.9	
Laguna Niguel (part)	0	0.0	
Laguna Woods (part)	16,773	95.0	
Lake Forest	85,965	100.0	
Mission Viejo (part)	46,118	49.2	
Modjeska	632	100.0	
Newport Beach (part)	197	0.2	
North Tustin	25,749	100.0	
Orange (part)	44,623	31.8	
Rancho Santa Margarita (part)	36,746	76.6	
Silverado	932	100.0	
Trabuco Canyon	1,020	100.0	
Tustin (part)	18,067	22.5	
Villa Park	5,850	100.0	
Williams Canyon	93	100.0	
			-

**District 3 Totals** 

624,698

	PPA_Populatio	%
	n	
District 4		
Anaheim (part)	122,732	35.3
Brea	47,397	100.0
Buena Park	84,187	100.0
Fullerton	143,930	100.0
La Habra	63,234	100.0
La Palma	15,597	100.0
Orange (part)	29,623	21.1
Placentia	51,925	100.0
Stanton (part)	78	0.2
Yorba Linda	68,415	100.0
istrict 4 Totals	627,118	

PPA_Populatio % n

#### District 5

Aliso Viejo (part)	50,167	96.1	
Costa Mesa (part)	111,576	99.5	
Coto de Caza	14,723	100.0	
Dana Point	33,144	100.0	
Huntington Beach (part)	59,322	29.8	
Irvine (part)	0	0.0	
Ladera Ranch	26,188	100.0	
Laguna Beach	23,061	100.0	
Laguna Hills (part)	42	0.1	
Laguna Niguel (part)	64,417	100.0	
Laguna Woods (part)	885	5.0	
Las Flores	6,004	100.0	
Mission Viejo (part)	47,642	50.8	
Newport Beach (part)	85,141	99.8	
Rancho Mission Viejo	10,385	100.0	
Rancho Santa Margarita (part)	11,254	23.5	
San Clemente	64,384	100.0	
San Juan Capistrano	35,271	100.0	
Santa Ana (part)	0	0.0	
			_

**District 5 Totals** 

643,606

#### **Summary Statistics**

Number of Census Place not split	29
Number of Census Place split	16
Number of Census Place split in 2	13
Number of Census Place split in 3	3
Total number of splits	35

#### Wednesday, January 26, 2022

	District		0/
Unified School	District	PPA_Populatio n	%
Brea-Olinda Unified School District	4	43,078	100.0
Capistrano Unified School District	5	361,882	100.0
Garden Grove Unified School District	1	8,522	3.1
Garden Grove Unified School District	2	268,094	96.9
Irvine Unified School District	1	3,570	1.3
Irvine Unified School District	3	269,271	98.7
Laguna Beach Unified School District	5	28,256	100.0
Los Alamitos Unified School District	2	49,955	100.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	1	0	0.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	3	0	0.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	5	196,117	100.0
Orange Unified School District	1	86,024	38.3

10:17 AM

Unified School	District	PPA_Populatio n	%
Orange Unified School District	2	0	0.0
Orange Unified School District	3	60,297	26.8
Orange Unified School District	4	78,303	34.9
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Dis	4	165,676	100.0
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric	3	221,072	100.0
Santa Ana Unified School District	1	233,974	96.0
Santa Ana Unified School District	3	9,746	4.0
Santa Ana Unified School District	5	29	0.0
Tustin Unified School District	1	71,345	49.7
Tustin Unified School District	3	72,237	50.3

OC BOE Draft 5 RP 1 B

Unified School	Liste	d by District	
		PPA_Populatio	%
		n	
District 1			
Garden Grove Unified District (part)	School	8,522	3.1
Irvine Unified School l (part)	District	3,570	1.3
Newport-Mesa Unified District (part)	d School	0	0.0
Orange Unified Schoc (part)	ol District	86,024	38.3
Santa Ana Unified Sch District (part)	nool	233,974	96.0
Tustin Unified School (part)	District	71,345	49.7
District 1 Totals		403,435	
District 2			
Garden Grove Unified District (part)	School	268,094	96.9
Los Alamitos Unified S District	School	49,955	100.0
Orange Unified Schoc (part)	ol District	0	0.0
District 2 Totals		318,049	

	PPA_Populatio	%
	n	
District 3		
Irvine Unified School District (part)	269,271	98.7
Newport-Mesa Unified School District (part)	0	0.0
Orange Unified School District (part)	60,297	26.8
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric	221,072	100.0
Santa Ana Unified School District (part)	9,746	4.0
Tustin Unified School District (part)	72,237	50.3
District 3 Totals	632,623	
District 4		
Brea-Olinda Unified School District	43,078	100.0
Orange Unified School District (part)	78,303	34.9
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Dis	165,676	100.0
District 4 Totals	287,057	

PPA_Populatio n %

#### District 5

t 5 Totals	586,284	
Santa Ana Unified School District (part)	29	0.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District (part)	196,117	100.0
Laguna Beach Unified School District	28,256	100.0
Capistrano Unified School District	361,882	100.0


#### Summary Statistics

Number of Unified School not split	6
Number of Unified School split	6
Number of Unified School split in 2	3
Number of Unified School split in 3	2
Number of Unified School split in 4	1
Total number of splits	16

#### 




Draft Map 9B V2: Overview






Plan 9B 2 - Incumbents






Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with USDs






Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with HSDs





Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with Cities



REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

# **OC Board of Education**

Draft Map 9B V2: Overview

## 2020 Census


		2	3 A manual contraction of the second se	2 - Marine of Marine 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (1999) - 1999 (199	S
Population	642,089	638,875	637,300	639,366	635,380
Deviation	3,487	273	-1,302	764	-3,222
Deviation %	0.5%	0.0%	-0.2%	0.1%	-0.5%
Other	111,347	369,356	287,248	153,956	431,612
Other %	17.3%	57.8%	45.1%	24.1%	67.9%
Latino	431,890	132,623	156,485	246,299	122,984
Latino %	67.3%	20.8%	24.6%	38.5%	19.4%
Asian	88,166	126,699	183,526	227,471	73,405
Asian %	13.7%	19.8%	28.8%	35.6%	11.6%
Black	10,686	10,197	10,041	11,640	7,379
Black %	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.8%	1.2%
Citizen Voting Ag	Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)				
		2	23	4	S

		2	З	4	ß
Total CVAP	350,293	452,779	401,133	405,655	455,816
Other CVAP	99,312	301,112	227,996	136,279	339,115
Other CVAP %	28.4%	66.5%	56.8%	33.6%	74.4%
Latino CVAP	185,401	70,388	0ll,97	113,763	62,253
Latino CVAP %	52.9%	15.5%	19.7%	28.0%	13.7%
Asian CVAP	57,490	72,612	87,485	146,260	47,545
Asian CVAP %	16.4%	16.0%	21.8%	36.1%	10.4%
Black CVAP	8,090	8,667	6,542	9,353	6,903
Black CVAP %	2.3%	1.9%	1.6%	2.3%	1.5%





Draft Map 9B V2: Overview

### **District 1**



2020 Census






Black %	1.7%	All of the local data data and the second data
Black	10,686	a stratig and the second second
Asian %	13.7%	
Asian	88,166	
Latino Latino %	67.3%	No
Latino	431,890	- Normal Annual Statements - Manual Statements
Other %	17.3%	
Other	111,347	6
viation Deviation %	0.5%	
Deviation	3,487	
Population	642,089	

Black CVAP %	52.9% 57,490 16.4% 8,090 2.3%
Black CVAP	8,090
Asian CVAP %	16.4%
Asian CVAP	57,490
Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	52.9%
Latino CVAP L	185,401
Other CVAP %	28.4%
Other CVAP	99,312
Total CVAP	350,293





Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with USDs

### **District 1**




## **2020 Census**





1% Black Black%	% 10,686 1.7%
Asian Asian%	88,166 13.7%
Latino % As	67.3% 88
	431,890
Other % Latino	17.3%
n % Other	111,347
viation Deviation %	3,487 0.5%
Population Devi	642,089 3,487


	13.7% 10,686 1.7%
Asian A	. 88,166
Latino %	67.3%
Latino	431,890
Other %	17.3%
ler	47






Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with Cities


### **District 1**



Black %	1.7%	ack CVAP %	2.3%
Black	10,686	ck CVAP BI	8,090
Asian %	13.7%	VAP % Blac	7
Asian	88,166	P Asian C	16.47
Latino %	67.3%	% Asian CVA	57,490
Latino	431,890	atino CVAP 9	52.9%
Other %	17.3%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	185,401
Other	111,347	.VAP % La	**
viation %	0.5%	P Other C	28.4%
Deviation Deviation %		Other CVA	99,312
Population D	642,089 3,487	Total CVAP	350,293

## 2020 Census







Orange Courty Office of Education Draft Map 9B V2: Overview


### **District 2**



2020 Census

57% 20% 19% 1% Other % Latino % Asian % Black %

# **Citizen Voting Age Population**




Black 10,197 Asian % 19.8% 126,699 Asian Latino % 20.8% 132,623 Latino Other % 57.8% 369,356 Other Deviation Deviation % 0.0% 273 Population 638,875

Black % 1.6% Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % 1.9% 8,667 16.0% 72,612 15.5% 70,388 66.5% 301,112 452,779




Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with USDs


## **District 2**



## **2020 Census**



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**





Black % 1.6%

Black 10,197

Asian % 19.8%

Asian 126,699

Other % Latino Latino %

20.8%

132,623

57.8%

369,356

0.0%

273

638,875

Population Deviation Deviation % Other

Black CVAP %	1.9%
Black CVAP	8,667
Asian CVAP %	16.0°
Asian CVAP	72,612
atino CVAP %	15.5%
Latino CVAP 1	70,388
Other CVAP %	66.5%
Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	211.
Total CVAP	452,779




Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with HSDs


### **District 2**



**2020 Census** 



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**





Black % 1.6%

Black 10,197

Asian % 19.8%

Asian

Latino % 20.8%

Latino 132,623

Other %

Deviation Deviation %

Population 638,875

57.8%

369,356 Other

0.0%

273


126,699

Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP % 1.9% 8,667 16.0% 72,612 15.5% 70,388 66.5% 301,112 452,779




Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with Cities


### **District 2**




S
5
S
<b>C</b>
<b>D</b>
-
U
-
0
_
2
0
Ň
•



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**




Black %	6%	:VAP %	1.9%
Bla	1.6%	ack C	1.9
Black	10,197	k CVAP BI	9,667
Asian % Black	19.8%	AP % Blac	(
Asian	126,699	o Asian CV	16.0%
Other % Latino Latino % Asian	20.8%	6 Asian CVA	72,612
Latino	132,623	tino CVAP 9	15.5%
Other %	57.8%	ino CVAPLa	70,388
	369,356	VAP %Lat	
viation %	0.0%	P Other C	66.5%
eviation De	273	Other CVA	21r -
Population Deviation Deviation % Other	638,875	Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAPLatino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	452,779





Orange Courty Office of Education Draft Map 9B V2: Overview

### **District 3**



Black %

Asian %

Latino %

28%

24%

**2020 Census** 

1%

Black %

Asian %

Latino %

21%

19%

1%


Black % 1.6% Black 10,041 Asian % 28.8% 183,526 Asian Latino % 24.6% 156,485 Latino Other % 45.1% 287,248 Deviation Deviation % Other -0.2% -1,302 Population 637,300

J			LAULIO UVAP % ASIAN UVAP ASIAN UVAP % BIACK UVAP BIACK UVAP %	Asidi LVAP 70	Lauino UVAP 76 Asian UVAP Asian UVAP 76 Black UVAP Black UV	BIACK LVAP %
	79,110	19.7%	87,485	21.8%	6.542	1.6%




Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with USDs

### **District 3**



S
Ñ
Đ
U
-
$\mathbf{O}$
<b>—</b>
$\mathbf{N}$
$\sim$
$\mathbf{O}$
Ň
<b>V</b> N



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



Black %	1.6%	Black CVAP %	1.6%
Black	10,041	ack CVAP	6,542
Asian %	28.8%	.VAP % Bla	30
Asian	183,526	o Asian C	21.8%
Latino %	24.6%	Asian CVA	87,485
Latino	156,485	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP K Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP 8 Black CVAP %	19.7%
Other %	45.1%	tino CVAP 1	79,110
Other	287,248	CVAP % La	56.8%
viation Deviation % Other	-0.2%	P Other C	56.
	-1,302	Other CVA	966.
Population Dev	637,300	Total CVAP	401,133



Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with HSDs Orange County Office of Education

## **District 3**




Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP % 1.6% 6,542 21.8% 87,485 19.7% 79,110 56.8% 227,996 401,133

9	
4	
G	5
~	R
S	
<b>A</b>	R
2	d
$\otimes$	$\bigotimes$
$\otimes$	$\bigcirc$


Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with Cities


### **District 3**



Black %	1.6%	ack CVAP %	1.6%
Black	10,041	ck CVAP BI	6,542
Asian %	28.8%	VAP % Blac	Ę
Asian	183,526	P Asian C	21.8%
Latino %	24.6%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP	87,485
Latino	156,485	atino CVAP	19.7%
Other %	45.1%	ino CVAP Lá	79,110
Other	287,248	CVAP % Lat	56.8%
eviation %	-0.2%	AP Other (	
Deviation Deviation %	-1,302	Other CV/	966'
Population D	637,300	Total CVAP	401,133

# 2020 Census









Draft Map 9B V2: Overview


### **District 4**



## **2020 Census**



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**





opulation D	eviation Deviation % Other Other % Latino Latino % Asian Asian %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
39,366	764 0.1%	153,956	24.1%	246,299	38.5%	227,471	35.6%	11,640	1.8%
otal CVAP	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	CVAP % Lat	ino CVAP La	atino CVAP %	Asian CVAF	Asian CV	AP % Black	< CVAP Bl	ack CVAP %

2.3%

9,353

36.1%

146,260

28.0%

113,763

33.6%


136,279

405,655




### Orange County Office of Education Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with USDs


**District 4** 



%	10	7P %	
Black %	1.8%	lack CV/	2.3%
Black	11,640	ck CVAP B	9,353
Asian %	35.6%	/AP % Blac	C
Asian	227,471	AP Asian C	36.1%
Latino %	38.5%	6 Asian CV	146,260
Other % Latino	246,299	tino CVAP 9	28.0%
Other %	24.1%	ino CVAPLa	113,763
Other	153,956	VAP % Lat	%
/iation %	0.1%	P Other C	33.6%
eviation Dev	764	Other CVA	76,279
Population Deviation Deviation % Other	639,366	Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP %Latino CVAPLatino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	405,655

## 2020 Census








Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with HSDs

### **District 4**



Black % Black Asian % Asian Latino Latino % Other % Population Deviation Deviation % Other

35.6% 11,640 1.8%	AP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %	9,353 2.3%
227,471 35.6%	Asian CV/	36.1%
8.5%	Asian CVAF	146,260
246,299 3	-atino CVAP %	28.0%
24.1%	cino CVAP I	113,763
153,956	CVAP % Lat	33.6%
0.1%	AP Other	
764	Other CV/	136,279
639,366	Total CVAP	405,655

# **2020 Census**








Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with Cities


### **District 4**



Census	
2020	



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**





ck CVAP %	Total CVAP     Other CVAP Other CVAP %Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP %       405,655     136,779     33,6%     113,763     28,0%	/AP % Bla	P Asian CVA	6 Asian CVA 146.260	atino CVAP 9 28.0%	tino CVAPLa 113.763	er CVAP %Lat 33.6%	VAP Othe	Other CV/	Total CVAP 405.655
1.8%	11,640	35.6%	227,471	38.5%	246,299	24.1%	153,956	0.1%	764	639,366

Black %

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino Latino %

Other %

Population Deviation Deviation % Other

Fountain Valley CA




## Orange County Office of Education

Draft Map 9B V2: Overview


### **District 5**



### 2020 Census



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



llack CVAP %	1.5%
lack CVAP B	6.903
VAP % B	%
Asian C\	10.4%
Asian CVAP	47.545
atino CVAP %	13.7%
atino CVAP I	62,253
ler CVAP % L	74.4%
'AP Oth	
Other CV	339,115
Total CVAP	455,816
	Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %

1			
			7
	×	ŭ.	

Black %

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %


Population Deviation Deviation % Other

NG	
III	
RIC	ERS.
IST	IN
ED	AR
R	P
$\bigotimes$	$\bigotimes$
$\otimes$	$\boldsymbol{\heartsuit}$

## Orange County Office of Education

Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with USDs

### **District 5**



1.5%

6,903

10.4%

47,545

13.7%

62,253

74.4%

3115




Orange Courty Office of Education Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with HSDs

## •

**2020 Census** 

### **District 5**




Population D	Deviation D	Deviation Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
535,380	-3,222	-0.5%	431,612	67.9%	122,984	19.4%	73,405	11.6%	7,379	1.2%
Total CVAP	Other CV,	AP Other CV	/AP % Lat	ino CVAPLa	tino CVAP	% Asian CVA	AP Asian C	VAP % Bla	ck CVAP B	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAPLatino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %
455,816	339,115	74.4%	%	62,253	13.7%	47,545		0.4%	6,903	1.5%

# Other % Latino % Asian % Black %

%IL


## **Citizen Voting Age Population**






### Draft Map 9B V2: Overlay with Cities Orange County Office of Education


**District 5** 



1.2% ilack CVAP % 1.5%	7,379 Ick CVAP B 6,903	11.6% AP % Blac	73,405 > Asian CVA 10.4%	19.4% Asian CVAF 47,545	122,984 tino CVAP % 13.7%	67.9% no CVAP Lat 52,253	451,612 :VAP % Lati 4% (	Other CVA	Total CVAPOther CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP & Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %455,816-3,11574,4%62,25313.7%47,54510.4%6,9031.5%	tal CVAP 455,816
1.2%	7,379	11.6%	73,405	19.4%	122,984	67.9%	4.51,612			
	BIACK						010 11.	-0.5%	-3,222 -	335,380



# **Citizen Voting Age Population**



Plan Type:

### **Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)**

### Wednesday, January 26, 2022

Census Place	District	PPA_Populatio	%
Aliso Viejo	5	n 52,222	100.0
Anaheim	1	230,164	66.2
Anaheim	3	73,759	21.2
Anaheim	4	43,830	12.6
Brea	3	47,397	100.0
Buena Park	4	84,187	100.0
Costa Mesa	2	112,139	100.0
Coto de Caza	5	14,723	100.0
Cypress	2	50,235	100.0
Dana Point	5	33,144	100.0
Fountain Valley	2	57,120	100.0
Fullerton	3	16,016	11.1
Fullerton	4	127,914	88.9
Garden Grove	1	39,027	22.6
Garden Grove	2	0	0.0
Garden Grove	4	133,319	77.4
Huntington Beach	2	199,033	100.0
Irvine	1	2,577	0.8
Irvine	2	111,125	36.1
Irvine	3	194,256	63.1

9:50 AM

Census Place	District	PPA_Populatio n	%
Irvine	5	0	0.0
La Habra	3	8,237	13.0
La Habra	4	54,997	87.0
La Palma	4	15,597	100.0
Ladera Ranch	5	26,188	100.0
Laguna Beach	5	23,061	100.0
Laguna Hills	5	31,399	100.0
Laguna Niguel	5	64,417	100.0
Laguna Woods	5	17,658	100.0
Lake Forest	2	0	0.0
Lake Forest	3	0	0.0
Lake Forest	5	85,965	100.0
Las Flores	5	6,004	100.0
Los Alamitos	2	11,795	100.0
Midway City	4	8,845	100.0
Mission Viejo	5	93,760	100.0
Modjeska	3	632	100.0
Newport Beach	2	59,165	69.3
Newport Beach	5	26,173	30.7
North Tustin	1	10,206	39.6
North Tustin	3	15,543	60.4
Orange	1	25,112	17.9
Orange	3	115,079	82.1
Placentia	3	51,925	100.0

OC BOE 9B2

Census Place	District	PPA_Populatio n	%
Rancho Mission Viejo	5	10,385	100.0
Rancho Santa Margarita	5	48,000	100.0
Rossmoor	2	10,634	100.0
San Clemente	5	64,384	100.0
San Juan Capistrano	5	35,271	100.0
Santa Ana	1	273,011	87.7
Santa Ana	4	38,382	12.3
Seal Beach	2	25,283	100.0
Silverado	3	932	100.0
Stanton	4	38,067	100.0
Trabuco Canyon	3	297	29.1
Trabuco Canyon	5	723	70.9
Tustin	1	52,587	65.4
Tustin	3	27,825	34.6
Villa Park	3	5,850	100.0
Westminster	2	0	0.0
Westminster	4	91,083	100.0
Williams Canyon	3	93	100.0
Yorba Linda	3	68,415	100.0

Census Place	Listed by District	
	PPA_Populatio	%
	n	
District 1		
Anaheim (part)	230,164	66.2
Garden Grove (part)	39,027	22.6
Irvine (part)	2,577	0.8
North Tustin (part)	10,206	39.6
Orange (part)	25,112	17.9
Santa Ana (part)	273,011	87.7
Tustin (part)	52,587	65.4
strict 1 Totals	632,684	

PPA_Populatio n

%

### District 2

Westminster (part)	0	0.0
Sear Deach	25,205	100.0
Seal Beach	25,283	100.0
Rossmoor	10,634	100.0
Newport Beach (part)	59,165	69.3
Los Alamitos	11,795	100.0
Lake Forest (part)	0	0.0
Irvine (part)	111,125	36.1
Huntington Beach	199,033	100.0
Garden Grove (part)	0	0.0
Fountain Valley	57,120	100.0
Cypress	50,235	100.0
Costa Mesa	112,139	100.0
	Cypress Fountain Valley Garden Grove (part) Huntington Beach Irvine (part) Lake Forest (part) Los Alamitos Newport Beach (part) Rossmoor	Cypress50,235Fountain Valley57,120Garden Grove (part)0Huntington Beach199,033Irvine (part)111,125Lake Forest (part)0Los Alamitos11,795Newport Beach (part)59,165Rossmoor10,634

- - - -

PPA_Populatio n %

### District 3

Anaheim (part)	73,759	21.2
Brea	47,397	100.0
Fullerton (part)	16,016	11.1
Irvine (part)	194,256	63.1
La Habra (part)	8,237	13.0
Lake Forest (part)	0	0.0
Modjeska	632	100.0
North Tustin (part)	15,543	60.4
Orange (part)	115,079	82.1
Placentia	51,925	100.0
Silverado	932	100.0
Trabuco Canyon (part)	297	29.1
Tustin (part)	27,825	34.6
Villa Park	5,850	100.0
Williams Canyon	93	100.0
Yorba Linda	68,415	100.0

**District 3 Totals** 

PPA_Populatio	%
n	

### District 4

District A Tatala	626 224		
Westminster (part)	91,083	100.0	
Stanton	38,067	100.0	
Santa Ana (part)	38,382	12.3	
Midway City	8,845	100.0	
La Palma	15,597	100.0	
La Habra (part)	54,997	87.0	
Garden Grove (part)	133,319	77.4	
Fullerton (part)	127,914	88.9	
Buena Park	84,187	100.0	
Anaheim (part)	43,830	12.6	

**District 4 Totals** 

PPA_Populatio n %

### District 5

Trabuco Canyon (part)	723	70.9
San Juan Capistrano	35,271	100.0
San Clemente	64,384	100.0
Rancho Santa Margarita	48,000	100.0
Rancho Mission Viejo	10,385	100.0
Newport Beach (part)	26,173	30.7
Mission Viejo	93,760	100.0
Las Flores	6,004	100.0
Lake Forest (part)	85,965	100.0
Laguna Woods	17,658	100.0
Laguna Niguel	64,417	100.0
Laguna Hills	31,399	100.0
Laguna Beach	23,061	100.0
Ladera Ranch	26,188	100.0
Irvine (part)	0	0.0
Dana Point	33,144	100.0
Coto de Caza	14,723	100.0
Aliso Viejo	52,222	100.0

**District 5 Totals** 

### Summary Statistics

Number of Census Place not split	32
Number of Census Place split	13
Number of Census Place split in 2	9
Number of Census Place split in 3	3
Number of Census Place split in 4	1
Total number of splits	31

Plan Type:

### **Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)**

### Wednesday, January 26, 2022

Unified School	District	PPA_Populatio	%
		n	
Brea-Olinda Unified School District	3	43,078	100.0
Capistrano Unified School District	5	361,882	100.0
Garden Grove Unified School District	1	46,807	16.9
Garden Grove Unified School District	2	24,404	8.8
Garden Grove Unified School District	4	205,405	74.3
Irvine Unified School District	2	102,139	37.4
Irvine Unified School District	3	170,702	62.6
Laguna Beach Unified School District	5	28,256	100.0
Los Alamitos Unified School District	2	49,955	100.0
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	2	171,352	87.4
Newport-Mesa Unified School District	5	24,765	12.6
Orange Unified School District	1	45,736	20.4

9:58 AM

Unified School	District	PPA_Populatio n	%
Orange Unified School District	3	178,888	79.6
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Dis	3	165,676	100.0
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric	3	595	0.3
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric	5	220,477	99.7
Santa Ana Unified School District	1	233,974	96.0
Santa Ana Unified School District	2	9,775	4.0
Tustin Unified School District	1	77,395	53.9
Tustin Unified School District	3	66,187	46.1

Unified School	Liste	d by District	
		PPA_Populatio n	%
District 1			
Garden Grove Unifiec District (part)	l School	46,807	16.9
Orange Unified Schoo (part)	ol District	45,736	20.4
Santa Ana Unified Scl District (part)	hool	233,974	96.0
Tustin Unified School (part)	District	77,395	53.9
District 1 Totals		403,912	
District 2			
Garden Grove Unifiec District (part)	d School	24,404	8.8
Irvine Unified School (part)	District	102,139	37.4
Los Alamitos Unified District	School	49,955	100.0
Newport-Mesa Unifie District (part)	ed School	171,352	87.4
Santa Ana Unified Scl District (part)	hool	9,775	4.0
District 2 Totals		357,625	

	PPA_Populatio n	%
District 3		
Brea-Olinda Unified School District	43,078	100.0
Irvine Unified School District (part)	170,702	62.6
Orange Unified School District (part)	178,888	79.6
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School Dis	165,676	100.0
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric (part)	595	0.3
Tustin Unified School District (part)	66,187	46.1
District 3 Totals	625,126	
District 4		
Garden Grove Unified School District (part)	205,405	74.3
District 4 Totals	205,405	

PPA_Populatio	
n	

%

### District 5

ct 5 Totals	635,380	
Saddleback Valley Unified School Distric (part)	220,477	99.7
Newport-Mesa Unified School District (part)	24,765	12.6
Laguna Beach Unified School District	28,256	100.0
Capistrano Unified School District	361,882	100.0

### **Summary Statistics**

Number of Unified School not split	5
Number of Unified School split	7
Number of Unified School split in 2	6
Number of Unified School split in 3	1
Total number of splits	15